

Conflict of interest

(Version 1.0 - effective from 1 January 2025)

Acting on Both Sides Guidance

Assessment of circumstances

- 1. You should assess all relevant factors to determine if there is a conflict of interest between Clients. For instance, if there is an imbalance in bargaining power between the Clients, or a Client is vulnerable, or their interests are markedly different and cannot be reasonably reconciled.
- 2. You must consider carefully whether a conflict of interest arises or is likely to arise when the practice receives instructions to act for different Clients in the same matter where the seller is:-
 - 1. a developer or builder; or
 - 2. a lessor granting a lease.
- 3. You should assess all relevant factors to determine if there is a conflict of interest between yourself and a Client. For instance, if there is a financial interest or a personal or commercial relationship.
- 4. If there is a conflict of interest identified at the outset of the transaction then the practice should not act in the transaction.

Three stage test

- 5. In the absence of a conflict of interest identified at the outset, CLC practices are permitted to act on both sides of a transaction when all the following criteria have been fulfilled:
 - 1. The clients have provided their informed written consent to the practice acting in this capacity.
 - 2. The practice has sufficient measures in place ('ethical walls') to ensure that clients receive a service which operates as though two separate and distinct entities provide the service independently.
 - 3. The practice has different authorised persons acting for the clients or one of the other accepted scenarios in Appendix A.

Informed written consent

- 6. You should explain that you will be acting for both sides of the transaction and that each client will be treated as though they were clients of a separate and independent practice or firm.
- 7. The consent of the client must be in writing and recorded on file.
- 8. Any specific issues and risks which have been explained to the client must also be recorded on file.
- 9. You should advise the client at the outset that the issues and risks in a particular both sides transaction may change as the transaction progresses and if a conflict arises you will cease acting for either party.

Ethical walls

- 10. CLC practices must ensure that there are effective barriers in place to safeguard confidential information and to avoid situations which give rise to conflicts.
- 11. Some of the factors CLC practices should consider when constructing effective ethical walls are:
 - 1. The size and physical layout of the practice.
 - 2. How the effectiveness of the ethical walls will be monitored.
 - 3. The nature of the arrangements in place (permanent arrangements are more likely to be effective as opposed to temporary arrangements).
 - 4. Whether the fee earner acting on one side has any compliance roles which could pierce the walls of confidentiality (such as HOLP/HOFA/MLRO etc).

- 5. The risks inherent in shared mailboxes/servers/printers and other shared drives.
- 6. The disciplinary consequences for staff of breaching any ethical walls.
- 7. The quality and scope of training for those involved in acting on both sides.

Authorised persons

- 12. CLC practices must ensure that different authorised persons represent each side in an acting on both sides matter either themselves or in a supervisory capacity.¹/₂.
- 13. It is only permissible for non-authorised persons to have conduct of the matters where a practice acts on both sides when they are effectively supervised by different authorised persons.
- 14. Please see Appendix A of this guidance for the range of situations which the CLC considers to be compliant with the CLC Conflicts of Interest Code.

¹Either one of these two scenarios will be considered to be compliant with paragraph 6 of the Conflicts of Interest Code and the Code of Conduct's Ethical Principles.

15. For the avoidance of doubt Sole Practitioners are not able to act on both sides of a transaction.

Appendix A:

In principle, the CLC considers the following approaches to be compliant with paragraph 6 of the Conflicts of Interest Code:

| Scenario 1* | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Authorised Person (A) | Authorised Person (B) |

| Scenario 2* | |
|--|--|
| A non-authorised person supervised by an Authorised Person (A) | A non-authorised person supervised by an Authorised Person (B) |

| Scenario 3* | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Authorised Person (A) | A Non-authorised person supervised by an Authorised Person (B) |

*In all the scenarios, A & B are different individuals, and neither party must have supervisory responsibility over the other.